Docket No.:

P-0221

NOV 2 2 2005

ATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Confirmation No.:

6949

Jae Kyung LEE and Myoung Hwa KO

Group Art Unit:

2614

Serial No:

09/842,089

Examiner:

Paulos NATNAEL

Filed:

April 26, 2001

Customer No.:

34610

For:

TV HAVING OSD FUNCTION AND CONTROL METHOD OF THE SAME

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Window, Mail Stop Amendment Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Dear Sir

Transmitted herewith is an Request for Reconsideration in the above identified application.

No additional fee is required.

Also attached:

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	NO. OF CLAIMS	HIGHEST PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEE
Total Claims	14	20		x \$50.00 =	\$
Independent Claims	2	3		x \$200.00=	\$
		If multiple claims newly presented, add \$360.00			
		Fee for extension of time			
		TOTAL FEE DUE		\$0.00	

	submitted herewith.
	A check in the amount of \$ (Check #) is attached.
\boxtimes	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 16-0607, including any filing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 for presentation of extra claims and any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.

Please charge my Deposit Account No. 16-0607 in the amount of \$____. An additional copy of this transmittal sheet is

Respectfully submitted, FLESHNER & KIM, LLP

Ogniel Y.J. Kim

Registration No. 36,186

Joanna K. Mason

Registration No. 56,408

P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200 (703) 766-3701 DYK:JKM/cah Date: November 22, 2005

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610

\fk4\Documents\2000\2000-068\80226.doc

2614



IN THE UNITER STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Confirmation No.:

6949

Jae Kyung LEE and Myoung Hwa KO

Group Art Unit:

2614

Serial No.:

09/842,089

Examiner:

Paulos NATNAEL

Filed:

April 26, 2001

Customer No.:

34610

For: TV HAVING OSD FUNCTION AND CONTROL METHOD OF THE SAME

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Window, Mail Stop Amendment Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated August 22, 2005, reconsideration in view of the following remarks and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-11, and 13-17 are pending in this application.

The Examiner is thanked for the indication that claims 1, 3-8, and 10 are allowed, and that claims 11 and 13-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection thereof under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. It is respectfully submitted that claims 11 and 13-17 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and thus claims 11 and 13-17 should also be in condition for allowance.

More specifically, the Office Action rejects claims 11 and 13-17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, alleging that recitation "generating a user OSD" in line 9 of independent

claim 11 renders the claim indefinite. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 11 recites, *inter alia*, receiving a <u>user OSD set menu</u> which corresponds to original information of the TV by contacting a service site server when an <u>OSD set key signal</u> is generated, comprising uploading the original information of the TV by contracting the service site server, and receiving a <u>user OSD set menu</u> which corresponds to the uploaded original information, and receiving an <u>OSD generation program</u> which corresponds to the <u>user OSD set menu</u>. The <u>user OSD set menu</u>, <u>user OSD set key signal</u>, and <u>OSD generation program</u> are each separate elements used to generate a user on screen display, or <u>user OSD</u>, which reflects a user selected language and user selected viewing and display processing formats, as further recited in independent claim 11. The user OSD recited at line 9 of independent claim 11 does not refer back to one of the earlier recited OSD elements, but simply refers to a display which is generated as a result of the preceding method steps.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that independent claim 11, as well as claims 13-17, which depend therefrom, meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and thus the rejection of claims 11 and 13-17 under 35 U.S.C. §`112, second paragraph should be withdrawn. It is further submitted that, as all of pending claims 1, 3-8, 10-11, and 13-17 should be in condition for allowance, the application should also be in condition for allowance.

Amendment dated: November 22, 2005

Reply to Office Action of: August 22, 2005

Docket No. P-0221

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned, **JOANNA K. MASON**, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted, FLESHNER & KIM, LLP

Daniel Y.J. Kim

Registration No. 36,186

Joanna K. Mason

Registration No. 56,408

P.O. Box 221200

Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200

(703) 766-3701 DYK/JKM:tlg/cah

Date: November 22, 2005

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610